INDEX

THE PARTY OF THE P

A SOUND TREASURE NO SOUND SOURCE

100 Science Will Security work for this organization

I his said hip a opposite and our said w

Burely and the section of the section of the

PRINCIPAL MATTERS.

ABORTION.

law of	the Rec	opilacio	n requ	iring, a	s a legal
presu	mption	of a ch	ild not	being	abortive,
that h	e should	live tw	enty-fo	ur hour	s, is still
in for	ce. Cot	tin vs. (Cottin.	100	

ACT OF ASSEMBLY.

Not in force till	after its promulgation.	St.
Avid vs. Weim	prender.	14
If it be repealed,	pendente lise, the judgme	ent
	is void. Todd vs. Landry	
Bren if it be so, aft	er judgment below, but per	od-
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	State vs. Edward.	474

ADMINISTRATOR (SPECIAL)

nowers did n	ot extend to	the estates	of inhabi-
tants of th	e state, thoug	h not residir	gin New-
Orleans.	Rogers vs. S	mith.	

ADVERTISEMENT.

he neglect of a c	ollector o	f taxes, to	advertise a
sale of land i	n the gaze	ttes, does	not vitiate it.
Smeltzer an	d wife vs.	Routh.	managed state

Vot. v.

AFFINITY.

Is not a ground of recusation. Poydras vs. Living.
ston & al. AGENT.
Who has the management of a ship does not bind
his principal by a purchase of produce, after she sailed. Vidal vs. Russel & al.
ALIEN.
May inherit land. Phillips vs. Rogers & al.
AMENDMENT.
After the copy of a judgment has been sent to the inferior court to be executed, the parties are out of court, and the supreme court cannot amend an apparent error on the record.
D'Apremont vs. Peytavin.
APPEAL,
I Under the territorial system, the non-suit of an appellee and original plaintiff did not revive
his judgment. Seville vs. Chretien. 2 The appellant must, in all cases, give security for costs. Dubreuil vs. Dubreuil.
3 The statement of facts must be signed by the parties, or some person having their autho-
rity, unless made by the judge. 4 A creditor of one of the parties, who has not es-
tablished his claim, cannot exercise the right
of appeal of his debtor. Rutherford vs. Cole.
4 1171

10 If

of the reasons on which it is grounded, the	10
court proceeds to judgment, if the record en-	
ables it to do so. Urguhart vs. Taylor,	200
Same point. Poston vs. Adams.	279
7 If the proceedings on which a judgment, pleaded	
in bar was had, be so obscure and confuse that	47
the facts cannot be ascertained, the supreme	9.31
court will remand the cause to be tried on the	
merits. Breaux vs. Meaux.	214
The transcript of the record cannot be brought	
up by the appellee. Carson vs. Wallace.	219
9 An appeal lies, if the recusation of a judge be	1
improperly sustained. Poydras vs. Living-	EVE I
nton So al. and an early so takes a lady and	292
10 If the evidence be not positive, the supreme court	
will not disturb the finding of the jury.	
D'Apremont vs. Peytavin.	323
11 Whether the appellee may be relieved in the su-	3
preme court? Sauzeneau vs. Delacroice & al.	386
12 He must answer within five days, after the record	250
is filed. General rule.	517
18 A certificate, that the record contains all the	
facts, on which the cause was tried, is good,	
though made one year after the judgment.	nd P
Franklin vs Remball's ex rsi	666
14 If it be shewn that the whole testimony has not	
been sent, the supreme court will grant a	+
	668
head harris ATTACHMENTING conflicts of	V
1. If a debtor assigns all his estate to trustees, any	E C
part of it may be attached, before they obtain	23
possession of it. Stevenson vs. Ramsay.	

2 The surety, in an attachment bond, is bound, though at the time the attachment was granted, the bond was not legally demandable.

Lartigue vs. Baldwin.

AUCTION.

If ther

Hac

1

2

If property is to be leased at, the auctioneer is to be allowed for his trouble on a quantum meruit.

Dutillet & al. vs. Chardon.

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

- 1 One to the opinion of the court, in refusing a conditional verdict, will not be noticed, if the whole evidence comes up with the record, so as to enable the supreme court finally to dispose of the cause. Duncan & al's, syndics vs. Martin & al.
- 2 To the admission of a witness, will not be not ticed, if the facts proven by him have also been proven by other legal testimony. Johnson vs. Duncan & al'a. syndies.

BILL OF EXCHANGE.

The declaration of the drawee, of an intention to pay the bill, does not prevent his questioning the authority of the drawer. Nancarrow vs. Melson.

CESSION OF GOODS.

If the creditors refuse it, on an atlegation of fraud, and they be assigned to the sheriff, under an order of court, the debtor will not be entitled to his discharge. Crommelina vs. their creditors.

CHECK.

If there be a standing account between the parties, and one of them produces his own check, receipted by the other, he will be entitled to a credit, unless it be shewn that it was given for a distinct claim. Joublanc's ex'r. vs Delacroix.

COMMUNITY.

fa couple, married in Hispaniela, and in community of goods, remove to Charleston, and the wife dies, the community will not continue between the husband and children. Murphy vs. Murphy.

See Evidence, 2.

CONTRACT.

1 A sum stated in livres, in a contract entered into in Hispaniola, is not to be intended of livres tournois.

215

68

2 If one purchases a crop of sugar, after viewing it, he cannot claim an abatement on an allegation of its being of an inferior quality. Becuir vs. Packwood.

3 If a lot be aliened, for a price which is to remain with the vender, at interest, with a stipulation that, in case of his insolvency, he shall be considered as a lessee, until then, the contract is a sale. Mayor, &c. vs. Duplessis. 309

If the vendee be restrained from aliening, unless binding his vendee to the payment of the original vendor, and he so aliens, he remains liable and is not released by the acceptance



1 If

9 0

3 1

of his vendor of interest from the second vendee, nor by a suit against the latter. 5 If an undertaker agree to do, in a theatre, "all the joiner's work necessary," ornamental work will be included in his contract. Sauzeneau vs. Delacroix & al. 6 If on a stipulation, that a certain part of the price shall be paid, as the work shall advance, in a given proportion, a payment be made, this shall not prevent the sufficiency of the work being questioned. Delacroix vs. the Orleans Navigation Company. CURATOR. 1 If before the appointment of a, one of the applicants receives his debt, this will destroy his claim as a creditor. Rust vs. Randolph. 2 One not repelled by law, cannot be excluded on suspicion of an intention to abuse the trust 3 If his appointment being revoked on an appeal, he delay the delivery of the estate to the application nellant till the heir arrives, he shall not be entitled to the commission. Preval vs Debuys & al. 4 Must be appointed by the judge of the parish in which the intestate died. Deshon & al. vs. Jennings. 568 5 His surety may be sued though neither he nor the principal have been sued for a settlement. Denys vs. Armitage. DAMAGES. One who saved another's slave and brought him from

Hispaniola, shall not pay damages till after a demand and refusal. Petit vs. Gillet. DEED.	19
I If it describes the land, as of twenty arpens with the ordinary depth, the interlineation of the words in front shall not vitiate it. Barra-	
bine & al. vs. Bradshears. 2 One may have a direct action, on a stipulation in his favor, in a deed to which he is not a party,	190
Mayor, &c. vs. Bailey.	321
1 Of a slave to an infant, with delivery to his fa- ther is irrevocable though there be no formal	10A.
acceptance. Pierce vs. Gray & al.	867
deed of gift. Holmes & al. vs. Patterson. In Spain, a donation to an infant is valid, without any acceptance, if the donor made a deed of it and died without disposing of the thing	693
given. EASTERN DISTRICT.	id.
The supreme court is opened in the, on the fourth Monday of November. General rule.	299
EVIDENCE.	
1 The record of a suit, against the principal in an attachment bond, is no evidence against the	
surety. Lartigue vs. Baldwin. 8 A renunciation to the community, before a notary, in Hispaniola, may be proven by a witness,	193
the aunt of the party. Ferry vs. Legras.	395

14 It

1.1

3 A witness may prove the signature of a person, with whose handwriting he is well acquaint.	
ed, though he never saw him write. Las Cay- gas vs. Larionda's syndics.	525
A was made in lieu of, and intended to annul,	
one to B. Chabot & al. vs. Blanc. 5 A certified copy of a sheriff's deed, on a ft'. fa'. is	328
legal evidence. Peytavin vs. Hopkins. 6 Parol, may be admitted to shew how the vendee possessed and cultivated the land, and of his	498
attempt to sell it. 7 If parol evidence be improperly offered, the ad-	îd,
verse party ought to object to its introduc- tion. Highlander vs. Fluke & al.	442
8 An heir may, in order to establish the quantum of his share, shew what sum was paid to his co-heirs, while he was under age. Trepag-	
nier's heirs vs. Durnford. 9 The court of probates cannot proceed on ex parte	431
evidence. Dubreuil vs. Dubreuil. 10 On the plea of payment, evidence cannot be re-	475
ceived of the rate, at which the plaintiff or- dinarily lends money. Durnford vs. Bari-	
teau.	501
11 On the vendor's plea de non numerată pecuniă, the vendee cannot adduce parol evidence that the consideration is not that which the deed	
expresses. <i>Berthole</i> vs. <i>Mace</i> . 12 The vendee may avail himself of any parol	576
evidence, introduced by the vendor, and shew that the sale was simulated.	id.

where a verbal one suffices, parol evidence of it may not be received, unless the absence of the writing be accounted for. Lucile vs.

Toustin.

11 It is not enough to prove, that a writing, purporting to be a bill of sale, was seen in the hands of the adverse party, but proof must be made of its genuineness. Bradley's heirs

325

328

438

id,

12

L

vs. Calvit. 662
15 The vendor's letter, announcing his failure, cannot be read against the vendee, to impeach his title. Crocheron vs. Ainslie & al. 524

See Foreign Laws, 2-Fraud, Practice, 5.

EXECUTOR.

- 1 May sue on a promissory note, given to him in his capacity, even one year after the death of his testator. Urquharts vs. Taylor.
- 2 Cannot act under a will made abroad, without the order of the parish judge. Deshon & al. vs. Jennings. 568, 642

200

If he present his account, which is contested, and a decree made for the balance, and he after receive other monies, he cannot present a new account, including with these monies, items of the first account, with additional charges, not before produced. Robin's widow & al. vs. his executors.

FOREIGN LAWS.

1 The courts of this state cannot presume what 'Vol. v. B

the laws of other states are—they must be	
	678
3 Whether the acknowledgment of a deed, before	
a justice of the peace, in Massachusetts, be	
legal evidence? Stearns vs. Rust.	519
FRAUD.	
On an allegation of, against two, a record to which	
one of them was a party, may be introduced	1
in evidence. Trepagnier's heirs vs. Durnford.	45}
INDIANS.	
1 Some of them were held in slavery, under the	
French government in Louisiana, and their	
freedom was not a consequence of the intro-	
duction of the Spanish or American laws.	187
Seville vs. Chretien.	275
2 Whether, when located by the governor of the	
province, they had the use only or the pro-	
perty of the land allotted to them. Martin	
vs. Johnson & al.	658
3 One who holds land, by purchase from the In-	
dians, by private sale, approved by govern-	
ment, cannot be disturbed by a person who	
does not claim under them.	id
4 In Spanish colonies, lands are not assigned to	
the Indians by survey. They are permitted	
to occupy a given spot, and the law gives	
them a right to a mile around it. Reboul vs.	49
INTERDICTION.	
The acts of a nerson enterior to his will not be	1

avoided, if his insanity was not notorious. Louisiana Bank vs. Dubreuil.	416
INTEREST.	
1 Cannot be allowed on a sum liquidated only by the verdict. Pierce vs. Flower & al. 2 Above the rate allowed by law, must be imputed on the principal. Durnford vs. Bariteau. 3 Is not to be allowed on a purchase on credit.	388 501
Decuir vs. Packwood.	300
INTERROGATORIES.	
One may avail himself of his own answer to an in- terrogatory put by the adverse party. Ber- thole vs. Mace.	576
See Practice, 4.	
ISSUE.	
Milliaming by the base were the transfer of the contract of th	6
1 One, the object of which is to obtain a general finding, cannot be specially submitted. Fon-	
teneau's heirs vs. Perot. 2 The act, directing the submission of particular issues is not unconstitutional. Maurin vs.	202
Martinez.	432
3 The time at which a person was made a party to a suit, is a matter of record, and cannot be	
submitted to the jury.	id.
JUDGMENT.	
1 Which does not contain the reasons on which it is grounded, whether void or voidable? Dou-	
brere vs. Papin.	498
2 It suffices, if the reasons appear by a reference to the petition.	id.

- S They must be inserted in a judgment by default.

 Montserrat vs. Godet.
- 4 Or on verdict. Muse vs. Curtis.

JURY.

Their finding must be understood in relation to the pleadings. Trepagnier's heirs vs. Durnford, 431

LAND.

The

Ha

614

662

662

- 1 When both parties have obtained the commissioners' certificate, the confirmation must be taken out of view. King & al. vs. Martin.
- 2 Whether an order of survey does not entitle the party to a petitory action against a possessor without title.
- S The seizure of, on a fi'. fa'. divests the defendant from his legal possession. Prevot & wife vs. Hennen.
- 4 A verbal promise to pay the vendor the difference between the price of the land and that at which it may be sold, cannot support an action. Hart vs. Clark's ex'rs.
- 5 A confirmation by the United States, cannot avail against a complete Spanish title. White vs. Well's ex'rs.
- 6 The defendant cannot be disturbed when the plaintiff does not shew a better title. Martin's heirs vs. Gardner & al.
- 7 On a verbal sale of land, either party may recant, before the conveyance be executed. Carson and wife vs. Fulton's ex'rs.
- 8 The surrender of the sole evidence of an inchoate and conditional title, before the performance of the condition, is evidence of an

alt.

he d, 451

614

implied abandonment of all rights under it. Boissier vs. Metayer.	678
The Spanish government could grant anew the land, when the grantee had neglected to per-	
form the condition.	id.
See Indians, 2, 3, 4.	
MANDAMUS	
the supreme court cannot issue a, to restore the clerk of a district court to his office. State	
vs. Dunlap & al.	271
MINOR.	1.
las a mortgage, but no privilege, on his tutor's es-	
tate. Welman vs. Welman & als. syndics.	574
MORTGAGE.	
Before the act of 1817, syndics of insolvents could, in order to effect a sale, release mort-	e v
gages. Williamson & al. vs. their creditors.	618
A judicial, cannot extend to lands out of the state.	id.
Although the register certifies that the land is free, if it appear that the order of court, on which a mortgage was cancelled, was had in	The state of the s
the absence of the mortgagee, the purchaser cannot be compelled to pay. Dreux vs.	
Ducournau.	625
The mortgagee cannot prevent the sale of the	
premises by a creditor, but can only insist on	
his being paid by preference. Alexander vs. Jacob & al.	632

2 T

3 1

4 1

5

6

7

5 One under private signature may be recorded, on producing the original. Lefevre vs. Boniquet's syndics. 481 NOTARY. 1 His acts may be impeached by the subscribing witnesses, if they all agree, and he be of a bad character. Langlish vs. Schons & al. 405 2 If they disagree, the execution of the act may be disproved by an alibi. id. NULLITY. 1 Whether the recourse of, as exercised under the Spanish law, still exists in Louisiana? Williamson & al. vs. their creditors. 618 2 Under a general allegation of, nothing which does not appear on the record can avail. id. ORDER. He who contracts to import goods for another, must strictly comply with his. Ralston vs. Pamar. PARTNERSHIP. In a particular, the partners are not bound in solido. Slocum vs. Sibley. PLANTER. Receiving advances from a merchant, is not thereby bound to give him the sale of his crop. Harrod & al. vs. Constant. 575 PENALTY. The whole not to be recovered on a partial breach. 525 M'Nair vs. Thompson.

PRACTICE.

481

405

id.

	E 17 16
A judgment of discontinuance cannot be plead-	
ed in bar. Petit vs. Gillet.	19
2 The plaintiff may discontinue, at any time, be-	
fore trial.	id.
3 And, with leave, after the trial is begun. Lafon vs. Riviere.	500
4 Although an answer to interrogatories be except-	
ed to, and the exception sustained, the party	
has no right to take it away. Poston vs.	MA.
Adams.	272
5 The signature of an indorser must be proven, al-	
though it was agreed that the note should be	
given in evidence, so far as it purports to be	
made by the drawer. Johnson vs. Duncan &	***
al's syndics.	361
6 The vendee on a ft. fa'. is suable, before any re-	
course on the land sold and mortgaged. Mor-	
gan vs. Young & al.	364
7 His surety has not the benefit of the plea of dis-	
cussion.	id.
8 If A sues for B, the latter is the real plaintiff.	- 4
M'Nair vs. Thompson.	525
9 In a possessory action, the judgment ought not	
to pronounce on the title. Justice vs. Wil-	
liams.	685
If a slave be claimed, under a statute, which pro-	
nounces his forfeiture, if removed, without the	
owner's consent, the petition must state that	and the
he was so removed. Hicks & wife vs. Cal-	
oit.	691

PRESCRIPTION.

11 An action for money laid out and expended, or had and received, does not lie against a wrongdoer. Foster & al. vs. Dupre.

gress, of March 2, 1805, may prescribe from	4
that day. King & al. vs. Martin.	197
2 The party pleading, is not doomed to answer an	
interrogatory, whether he has paid the debt.	
Burke vs. Flood.	403
3 Of twenty years, required of a slave claiming his	
fréedom, in the absence of his master. Me-	107.9
tayer vs. Noret.	566
PRIVILEGE.	
On real estate, in the hands of a third person, can-	
not be exercised, without a judgment against	\$100
the original debtor. Mouchon vs. Delor.	395
PROMISSORY NOTE.	
Is not presumed to be paid, on the lapse of five or	
six years. Loze vs. Zanico.	391
REFEREES.	
1 After praying their report to be made the judg-	
ment of the court, the party cannot attack it	
for informality. Bariteau vs. Lefevre.	481
2 If they report a balance due to the defendant, he	Vo.
cannot have judgment therefor.	id
RES JUDICATA.	
A judgment is not, as to those who were not parties	
thereto. Augustin & al. vs. Cailleau & al.	464

RESPITE.

a creditor who granted a, may sue, if in the mean while the debtor becomes insolvent. M'Bride vs. Crocherons.

105

RESPONSIBILITY.

If A writes to B, that C, "being unacquainted in New-Orleans, will be indebted to B's politeness for assistance, and his bill on his father will be honored," he is responsible for the payment. Amory & al. vs. Boyd.

197

403

66

414

SALE.

1 When the vendee, in the contract of sale, lets the premises to the vendor, no delivery of possession is necessary. Highlander vs. Fluke & al.

442

2 The process verbal of the register of wills is evidence of a sale. Zanico vs. Habine.

372

3 The rescission of a sale cannot be demanded, on account of a capital crime committed by the slave immediately after the sale.

id.

4 The vendor's privilege is postponed to law charges, if the vendee become insolvent. Delor vs. Montegut's syndics.

468

See Contract, 2, 3, 4—Deed, 1—Indians, 1—Land, 4, 7—Practice, 6.

SLAVE.

1 A master who has agreed to free his, for a certain sum, is not compelled to do so, by the receipt of part, till he receives the whole. Cuffy vs. Castillon.

404

VOL. V.

2 If on an injury to his slave, the plaintiff re-

red to the defendant, on payment of the judgment. Jourdan vs. Patton. 3 No interest can be given on such a price; but the delay sustained by the plaintiff may be	615
considered in fixing the value. See Donation, 1—Evidence, 6—Practice, 10, 11, 15—Prescription, 566—Sale, 3, 4.	id
SIMULATION.	
 A feigned vendee will be decreed to re-convey, even when the object of the sale was to protect property from threatened suits. Greffin's ex'rs. vs. Lopez. When a counter letter is accounted for, parol evidence of its contents may be admitted. SURETY. May be sued without the principal. Curtis vs. Mar- 	145 id.
tin.	674
See Attachment, 2—Practice, 7.	150
WAGES.	
Cannot be claimed by the master of a ship, lost by his neglect. Latham vs. West. WIFE.	51
Binding herself with her husband, and renouncing the laws in her favor, cannot demand proof of the debt having been contracted for her horse	

fit. Chapillon and wife vs. St. Maxent's

166

heirs.

WILL.

15

Attended with the formalities required in an olographic one, is valid as such, though it appears that the testatrix intended to make a mystic will. Broutin & al. vs. Vassant. 169 A superscription is not of the essence of an olographic will. id. 5 The testator may dispose of part of his estate on an universal, and of the rest on a particular, title. Gardner & al. vs. Harbour & al. 408 4 If a wife has a legacy of the enjoyment of the estate, she takes it on the appraisement made immediately on the husband's death, and pays no interest thereon. Marshal vs. Marshal.